23 July 1974

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Office of Security Actions Regarding

STATINTL

STATINTL

1. At the direction of the DD/P&M on 16 July 1974, I

STATINTL

Notices

State and Local Government Components. My meeting with

Was predicated upon a telephone call he made

to the Director of Security on 15 July 1974 with reference
to our request for a "blanket approval" for support activities
to other USIB agencies.

- STATINTL 2. At the meeting, indicated his view that a "blanket approval" for the Director of Security to support other USIB agencies in the area identified in our request ran counter to the spirit of the Headquarters Notices. These notices suggest a need for senior (Deputy Director level) review of Agency activities involving assistance or support to other government units.
- STATINTL 3. stated that he had received from the Inspector General, on its way to the Director, a request for DCI approval for this "blanket authority". Further, he stated his intention to return the request to the IG, or even to call the Director of Security.
- 4. In our discussions, I related that after a thorough review of the files available I had concluded that at least technically the Office of Security had never responded to the initial requirement to report all current agreements, arrangements, and practices involved in the provision of assistance or support to other government units. Further, I indicated that I had had discussion with the DD/P&M that perhaps the easiest way out of our dilemma was to, however belatedly,

Approved For Releas 100109/02 CLARDP83B0032 000100140015-6

STATINTL and practices of this nature.

STATINTL that the number of ad hoc future might be minimal.

5. Following the meeting with discussion with the DD/P&M, we agreed that we would proceed to canvass the Office of Security for the purpose of identifying all current agreements, etc., as required by submitted to the DD/M&S by 21 September 1973. However, after consultation with the Director of Security, the DD/P&M asked that I ensure that this approach was acceptable to the IG; he suggested that I meet with purpose.

6. On 22 July 1974, I met with STATINT OIG, relating both my conversation with STATINTL and and our planned approach to submit a "data base" STATINTL of activities, to be collectively "approved". I indicated that these submissions would be made in accordance with the STATINTL established format and would be developed in the form of "models" of our external support activities. the proposed approach met with enthusiasm on the part of STATINTL who called attention to the probability that other Agency components had perhaps confused the original report requirement with a "jewels" reporting require-STATINTL ment levied shortly before the issuance of I noted STATINTL that the Director of Security had indeed submitted a listing of services provided other agencies to the ADD/M&S on STATINTL 7 August 1973, but that this submission had not followed the format.

STATINTL

Approved For Release 1000/09/02 CIA-RDP83B0082 1000100140015-6

of the date this item was submitted (19 September 1973) and the initial reporting deadline (21 September 1973) some confusion occurred. It is equally apparent that the Office of Security failed to respond to the initial reporting requirement.

8. Plans, Programs Branch is initiating action in accordance with the discussions outlined above to canvass the entire Office of Security to prepare a consolidated "initial" submission to correct this earlier deficiency.

STA

STATINTL

Chief, Plans, Programs Branch

	TERREPARE 2000	DS/DS ANARI	CHS3R008	23A0001p	
ľ	UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDEN	ITIAL	SECRET	
1		AL ROUTING	SLIP 7	AUG 1974	
0	NAME AND ADDRESS		DATE	INITIACE	
1	C/PP&AD		25 JUL 197	4	
2	ADD/P&M		25 1974	CA	
3 -	0100 ste	d = =			
4	CIPDB.		7 ling 74	ar	
5					
6			PREPARE		
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY DISPATCH		MENDATION N	
	APPROVAL	FILE	RETURN		
	COMMENT	INFORMATION	SIGNATU		
	marks: STATINTL		Aug 7 14		
			10.24		
		ERE TO RETURN T	ro sender	DATE	

FORM NO. 237 Use previous edition